

Life Science Informatics



Analyzing Molecular Promiscuity from a Ligand and Target Perspective

Jürgen Bajorath Life Science Informatics University of Bonn



Polypharmacology and Promiscuity

Polypharmacology:

an emerging theme in drug discovery

- therapeutic efficacy of drug molecules often results from interactions with multiple targets
- paradigm: ATP-site directed kinase inhibitors used in oncology









Polypharmacology and Promiscuity

Promiscuity:

molecular basis of polypharmacology

- originally defined as the ability of small molecules to specifically interact with multiple targets
- triggering a departure from the single-target concept in drug discovery









Different Views of Promiscuity

Ligand-centric view

 ability of small molecules to specifically interact with multiple targets

- Target-centric view
 - ability of proteins to bind different classes of compounds



universität**bonn**





Large-Scale Promiscuity Analysis

- Systematically evaluate all currently available compounds and activity data from medicinal chemistry and biological screening
- Advent of 'Big Data' in medicinal chemistry provides an unprecedented basis – and considerable challenges







Entering the 'Big Data' Era in Chemistry

Public Database	Organization	CPDs/Structures (Million, Aug./Nov. 2015)	
ZINC 14	UCSF	23	
ZINC 15		CPDs ≤ 1000 Da Collected: 220 (!) 'Drug-like' purchasable: >120 (!!)	







'Big Data' Criteria

<u>5 'V's*</u> Volume Velocity Variety Veracity Value

Complexity Heterogeneity **Confidence**

*Lusher, S. J. et al. Drug Discov. Today. 2014, 19, 859







Data Sets with Varying Confidence Levels









Promiscuity in Light of Data Confidence

- Promiscuity analysis illustrates the impact of data confidence criteria
- Ligand-centric view







Promiscuity vs. Data Confidence

Bioactive compounds from ChEMBL18



Hu, Y.; Bajorath, J. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2014, 54, 3056







Balanced View on Promiscuity

- Data sparseness principally results in conservative estimates
- Given current activity data volumes, statistically sound trends are anticipated
- Focusing on high-confidence data limits false-positive annotations







Compound Promiscuity Over Time

Bioactive compounds from ChEMBL20



Hu, Y.; Jasial, S.; Bajorath, J. F1000Research 2015, 4, 118







Drug Promiscuity Over Time

Approved drugs mapped to ChEMBL20









Promiscuity of Imatinib Over Time









Promiscuity vs. Molecular Weight

ChEMBL 20 / Set 8



Hu, Y.; Jasial, S.; Bajorath, J. F1000Research 2015, 4, 118







Promiscuity vs. Lipophilicity

ChEMBL 20 / Set 8



Hu, Y.; Jasial, S.; Bajorath, J. F1000Research 2015, 4, 118







Promiscuity Across Target Families

ChEMBL 20 / Set 8









Promiscuity of Screening Hits

- Assay frequency or compounds inactivity information is typically not taken into account when assessing the promiscuity
- Extension of promiscuity analysis by identifying most extensively assayed public domain compounds







Extensively Assayed Compounds

 437,257 compounds assembled from PubChem BioAssays tested in both primary and confirmatory assays (>800 targets)



Jasial, S.; Hu, Y.; Bajorath, J. PLoS One 2016, 11, e0153873







Extensively Assayed Compounds

267,418 compounds active in primary assays

Median

Mean

196,607 compounds active in confirmatory assays



2.0

3.4







2.0

2.6



Target Promiscuity

Target-centric view

Derived from compound activity data







Hu, Y.; Bajorath, J. *PLoS One* **2015**, *10*, e0126838

Target Promiscuity Indices (TPIs)









Target Promiscuity Indices (TPIs)

- TPI_1: first-order target promiscuity index
 - calculated as the number of unique scaffolds of all compounds active against a given target
 - indicates the ability of a target to interact with structurally diverse compounds (i.e., scaffold hopping potential)
- TPI_2: second-order target promiscuity index
 - average degree of promiscuity of all compounds active against the target
 - reflects the tendency of a target to interact with specific or promiscuous compounds







Hu, Y.; Bajorath, J. PLoS One 2015, 10, e0126838

Distribution of TPI_1

The average TPI_1 value over all targets is 77 (K_i data) and 61 (IC₅₀): Most targets bind structurally diverse compounds









Distribution of TPI_2

- Only ~18% of all targets interact with compounds having no other reported activity ('pseudo-specific' compounds) (TPI_2 value: 1)
- Most targets bind varying numbers of promiscuous compounds









universitätbon

Targets with Varying TPI Patterns

- Targets interact with compounds
 - structurally diverse (>120 distinct scaffolds)
 - with no other reported activities

TPI pattern	Target name	#Cpds	TPI_1	TPI_2
High TPI_1 Low TPI_2	Leukotriene A4 hydrolase	217	124	1.01
	C-X-C chemokine receptor type 3	372	129	1.00







Targets with Varying TPI Patterns

- Targets interact with compounds
 - structurally homogeneous
 - preferentially promiscuous

TPI pattern	Target name	#Cpds	TPI_1	TPI_2
Low TPI_1 High TPI_2	Group IID secretory phospholipase A2	10	4	4.70
	Matrix metalloproteinase 16	12	6	6.42







Target Family Promiscuity Profiles

TPI_2 values establish promiscuity profiles of target families









Conclusions

Promiscuity

- molecular basis of polypharmacology
- 'big data' era enables and challenges large-scale promiscuity analysis
- promiscuity must be viewed in light of data confidence
- Ligand-Centric Promiscuity
 - promiscuity degree of bioactive compounds is generally low
 - comparably low degree for ligands of major target families
 - drugs often have higher promiscuity
- Target-Centric Promiscuity
 - most targets recognize structurally diverse compounds
 - most targets bind both pseudo-specific and promiscuous compounds
 - different promiscuity patterns are observed





